

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,232	02/06/2002	Aude Prieur-Blanc	ESSR:062US	8542	
7	7590 06/15/2006			EXAMINER	
Mark B. Wils		VARGOT, M	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
Fulbright & Jav	worski L.L.P.				
Suite 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
600 Congress Avenue			1732	<u></u>	
Austin, TX 7	8701		DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)	
			Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers		10/068,232	PRIEUR-BLANC ET	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this comi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 18-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the papers. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to be	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application in the second in the secon	tion No red in this National St	age
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		52)

Application/Control Number: 10/068,232

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 18-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed. had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the previous action. While applicant admittedly has support for the mixture of solvents to contain a non-solvent (page 5, lines 1-5), this would appear to be more an afterthought based on the disclosure of the admitted prior art (ie, page 2, lines 20-21 which in fact is the Duchane et al reference applied against the claims) rather than an alternate embodiment. It is noted that such a disclosure occurs nowhere else in the specification and was clearly never considered to be an alternative embodiment. since there are no examples directed to this aspect nor any other mention other than purely in passing. Hence, it is not clear to what extent the case law mentioned by applicant is probative. It is respectfully submitted that such a disclosure does not give applicant the right to positively exclude non-solvents from the claims.

Page 2

2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art as set forth at page 1, line 7 through page 2, line 11 of the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/068,232

Art Unit: 1732

specification in view of Duchane et al (col. 1, lines 40-50; col. 2, lines 45-55) for reasons of record.

Page 3

3.Applicant's arguments filed April 3, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments concerning the new matter are not persuasive and have been essentially addressed in paragraph 1, supra. While there may be case law to allow alternative embodiments to be expressly excluded from the claims, it is respectfully submitted that the instant disclosure of using a non-solvent does not rise to the level of an alternative embodiment, at least one that would be excluded from the claims. Clearly, the disclosure as a whole contemplates using non-solvents as an afterthought and not as an alternative embodiment. If such were really an alternative embodiment, then certainly some example would have been disclosed using such an embodiment. The issue of obviousness has already been addressed. Concerning centrifugation, see the office action dated January 2, 2004, lines 13-17 of page 3.

4.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/068,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot June 10, 2006 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

M. Varano

6/10/06